1 STATE OF NEVADA 2 LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT 3 RELATIONS BOARD 4 5 JAMES CROM. 6 Complainant. ITEM NO. 752B 7 VS. CASE NO. A1-046004 8 LAS VEGAS CLARK COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT; TEAMSTERS LOCAL 14: DOE 9 INDIVIDUALS 1-300; ROE INDIVIDUALS **ORDER** 1-300. 10 Respondents. 11 12 For Complainant: Philip J. Trenchak, Esq. 13 For Respondent: Scott M. Abbott, Esq. for Las Vegas-Clark County Library District 14 For Respondent: David T. Spurlock, Jr., Esq. for Teamsters Local 14 On the 1st day of November, 2011 this matter came on before the State of Nevada, Local 15 Government Employee-Management Relations Board ("Board"), for consideration and decision 16 17 pursuant to the provisions of the NRS and NAC chapters 288, NRS chapter 233B, and was 18 properly noticed pursuant to Nevada's open meeting laws. 19 Respondent Las Vegas-Clark County Library District ("District") has filed a motion to 20 dismiss on the basis that some of the allegations raised by Complainant Crom assert only breach of contract issues which lie outside the jurisdiction of this Board. Respondent Teamsters Local 21 22 14 joined in the motion. Complainant Crom opposed the motion and argued that the Board has 23 broad jurisdiction to hear any issue related to a collective bargaining dispute. The District also 24 filed a reply in support of its motion. 25 As the District correctly argues, this Board exercises limited jurisdiction under the 26 confines of the statutory provisions of NRS Chapter 288. Those provisions authorize this Board 27 to hear and decide claims of prohibited labor practices and other matters arising under NRS

Chapter 288. See NRS 288.110(2); NRS 288.280. Although this Board can consider contractual

28

issues which are foundational to prohibited labor practice claims, e.g. NLRB v. C & C Plywood Corp., 385 U.S. 421 (1967), this Board has consistently held that it lacks jurisdiction over contractual disputes which do not allege a prohibited labor practice under the provisions of NRS Chapter 288. Clark County Classroom Teachers Ass'n v. Clark County School Dist., EMRB Case No. A1-045280, Item No. 44 (Aug. 19, 1975).

Turning to the allegations in this case, the District asks that the Second, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, Eleventh, Twelfth, Thirteenth, Fourteenth, and Twenty-Seventh causes of action be dismissed as these claims raise only contractual issues. We agree with the District that these claims should be dismissed to the extent that they assert only contractual matters. We also note that the allegations in these causes of action refer to Crom's discipline and discharge, which may present a prohibited labor practice. City of Reno v. Reno Police Protective Ass'n, 118 Nev. 889, 59 P.3d 1212 (2002). At this stage, and based upon the evidence presented in the motion, we cannot definitively state that Crom has not alleged a unilateral change claim within the bounds of his complaint and the causes of action that the District seeks to dismiss. Therefore, we will dismiss these causes of action as to the breach of agreement issues, but dismissal is not warranted to the extent that they assert a prohibited labor practice.

This order is intended to narrow the issues in this case from 13 separate "causes of action" down to a claim of unilateral change to discipline and discharge procedures.

Having considered the above, the Board unanimously finds as follows:

- Pursuant to NRS 288.110(2) and NRS 288.280, the Board has jurisdiction over violations of NRS Chapter 288.
- A unilateral change to discipline and discharge procedures is a prohibited labor practice within the exclusive jurisdiction of this Board pursuant to <u>City of Reno v.</u>
 Reno Police Protective Ass'n, 118 Nev. 889, 59 P.3d 1212 (2002).
- Crom's Second, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, Eleventh,
 Twelfth, Thirteenth, Fourteenth, and Twenty-Seventh causes of action fall outside

of the jurisdiction of this Board to the extent that they raise only contractual issues.

4. The factual allegations raised in Crom's Second, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, Eleventh, Twelfth, Thirteenth, Fourteenth, and Twenty-Seventh causes of action may raise issues of unilateral change and at this stage the Board will retain jurisdiction over any unilateral change claim asserted by the facts in said causes of action.

Based upon the foregoing, and good cause appearing therefore:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the District's Motion to Dismiss is granted in part as set forth herein.

BY:

DATED this 14th day of November, 2011.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-
MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD

BY	•		<u>لم/</u>	Z		
	SEATON	J. CU	RRA	√, E	SQ.,	Chairman

BY: There & darning

PHILIP E. LARSON, Vice-Chairman

SANDRA MASTERS, Board Member

1 STATE OF NEVADA 2 LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT 3 **RELATIONS BOARD** 4 5 JAMES CROM, 6 Complainant, 7 CASE NO. A1-046004 8 LAS VEGAS CLARK COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT; TEAMSTERS LOCAL 14; DOE INDIVIDUALS 1-300; ROE INDIVIDUALS 9 **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER** 1-300. 10 Respondents, 11 12 To: Philip J. Trenchak, Esq. 13 Scott M. Abbott, Esq. for Las Vegas-Clark County Library District To: 14 David T. Spurlock, Jr., Esq. for Teamsters Local 14 To: 15 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an ORDER was entered in the above-entitled matter on 16 November 14, 2011. 17 A copy of said order is attached hereto. 18 DATED this 14th day of November, 2011. 19 LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-20 MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Local Government Employee-Management Relations Board, and that on the 14th day of November, 2011, I served a copy of the foregoing ORDER by mailing a copy thereof, postage prepaid to: Philip J. Trenchak, Esq. 3470 E. Russell Road #215 Las Vegas, NV 89120 Scott M. Abbott, Esq. Kramer Zucker Abbott 3000 W. Charleston Blvd. #3 Las Vegas, NV 89102 David T. Spurlock, Jr., Esq. 7121 West Craig Rd. #113 Las Vegas, NV 89129